LITIGATION, LEGISLATION & LLMS



SMU LIT JULY 2025 NEWSLETTER









Confessions of an Intern: Life in the Litigation Lane



Hi everyone, I am Dior, a rising Year 3 LLB student.

If you applied for an internship in the litigation department expecting nonstop excitement and courtroom drama - brace yourself. When people hear "litigation," they picture fiery objections, dramatic crossexaminations, and lawyers delivering passionate arguments. In reality? As an intern in the litigation department, I have had a front-row seat to the adrenaline-fueled world of contentious work – but it is less Suits and more strategy, structure, and (a lot of) screen time.

As an intern with no prior experience the legal industry, I quickly in discovered that a huge part of my time is spent doing legal research - a familiar task from our Legal Research and Writing module back in Year 1. In just three weeks, I have churned out more memorandums than I did over the course of law school.

66 The key to writing a good memorandum? the damn Answer question he or prepared be to questioned by 8 partner. "

> Whether it is researching obscure points of procedural law or areas of law that I have never encountered in school, the trick is not to panic!



Thanks to online databases, I can The quickly scour judgments, read firm internship? A day out of the office articles, and browse statutes until and into the courtroom --unless, of things start to click. Technology course, your session's been shifted makes the hunt for answers much online to Zoom. So much for tech more streamlined.

the content Once is there. contentious work becomes a game of precision and clarity. I have learned that "brief" does not mean "quick to write" - it means spending hours refining your argument to be calm, collected, and completely in persuasive, concise and typo-free.

Sitting in on meetings, tagging along too terrified to take even a sip of for team lunches, and listening to the lawyers game-plan their next move has shown me that contentious work is just as much about strategy and timing as it is about statutes and case law. I quickly realised that behind every written submission is a Over multiple hearings (if you are carefully considered tactical decision. These discussions are not just about legal principles; they are about reading the room, understanding the inclinations, and managing client carries more weight than any shouted expectations.

highlight of any litigation convenience, but you do lose out on that authentic courtroom buzz!

Decked out in crisp black and white, I stride into the State Courts building feeling like the intern version of Harvey Specter. While my mentors control - stand to present their case, I am seated nearby, furiously typing, water in case I miss something crucial. My job? Be the unofficial court reporter, catching every "Your Honour," every line of argument, every eyebrow-raising objection.

lucky!), you soon realize that court is less like an episode of Suits and more like a delicate chess game - quiet strategy, razor-sharp wording, and judge's the occasional dramatic pause that objection ever could.















In the world of litigation, from lastminute urgent filings to sudden breakthroughs, no two days are quite the same. Like any job though, the early days can feel repetitive and mundane - but with curiosity, persistence, and a little caffeine, you will find your footing. Once you do, you just might fall in love with the chaos.



GRWM: First Tech Internship Update



Hey Tech and Law enthusiasts, I've got a real treat for y'all this month with an internship role that touches on both aspects: Al governance.

Being a Year 2 Computer Science student in Technology Consulting, I envisioned my Summer Internship to be daily business meetings with clients under the company's credit card, or programming new cuttingedge technology to solve unique business needs.

setting up controls to ensure certain policies or procedures. compliance with regulations both baseline and international.

framework for AI solutions we would use-case tests are written first, which create for clients. regulations concerning share accountability, data transparency, privacy, explainability, fairness, safety, and even sustainability. It also considers the country where the AI members of the team. system will be deployed, because areas like Korea have their South The second perspective I learned Korea Al Basic Act, and Europe has was the trade-off between regulatory their EU AI Act-all of which need to compliance and usability. In a perfect be addressed before going to world, every Al product would meet market.

While this was not what I expected to for do, it certainly taught me new perspectives towards launching an Al solution for a business.

First and foremost, defining controls the region, and policies helps to communicate requirements other stakeholders.

So, imagine my surprise when I was while also serving as a "source of assigned work from AI governance, truth" whenever needing to explain

This is quite like an Extreme Programming (XP) practice of test-These controls were part of a driven development, whereby the It covers the code must then pass. These two the best practice of integrity, establishing requirements and using security, the intended outcome to justify the reliability, initial actions, indirectly aligning communications between different

> the strictest legal requirements. But in reality, with regulations that push Explainable AI (XAI) and transparency, a product's usability may be affected. For instance, the EU AI Act introduces stricter guardrails for AI systems deployed in making developers clearly hesitant to enter the EU market due with to potential compliance risks.













When it comes to user interactions, many regulations mandate informing users whenever AI is involved. That means users may have to continuously accept privacy agreements explaining how their data is being collected and used, that they are interacting with an AI system, and see visible watermarks on all AI-generated content. In some cases, there may even be mandatory education sessions required before accessing the AI product, just to ensure users understand the risks such as inaccuracies in AI-generated output or the limitations of the system.

As you can see, too many safeguards can either limit the product's accessible market or drive users away due to the overwhelming amount of information and friction introduced before they even begin using the product. While compliance is essential to protect users from malicious AI practices, it may sometimes lead to sacrificing usability, especially when regulations haven't yet caught up with the pace of emerging technology. That's why it's important to strike a balance between regulatory adherence and user experience, to ensure we don't rob potential users of the convenience and value AI can offer.

Lastly, coming into this internship and networking with people in the industry taught me the importance of building trust within the organisation. And no, I'm not talking about knowing who to gossip with about office drama, or who's best at keeping secrets or spilling the tea. I'm talking about the trust others place in you when assigning work. In consulting, which is a client-facing role, managers and associates are constantly building teams of individuals they know they can rely on; people with strong work ethic who contribute to progress rather than hold it back. And the best way to judge that? It's through your work. Not just from what's listed on your portfolio, but from personal accounts of how you've worked with their colleagues before.

So how do you build that trust? Just do more work! Take on unfamiliar tasks with an open mind. For example, even though AI governance wasn't something I was originally excited about, being in SMU LIT inspired me to give it a shot and I've gained deeper insights into how to build compliant AI solutions that actually benefit users. Instead of brushing something off as "not my domain," I encourage you to find value in learning as much as possible. Ask questions, embrace whatever task comes your way, and connect with your colleagues to build real rapport.

> Make the most out of your internship time. Now go out there and slay kings and queens.

> > Daniel

Is it AI? Or just another human...

Builder.ai marketed itself as a revolutionary platform that could automate the creation of mobile apps using Al, making development accessible to literally everyone. Backed by high-profile investors like Microsoft and the Qatar Investment Authority, the company raised nearly half a billion dollars in funding. But when the company filed for bankruptcy, revelations followed: despite its claim of using Al to build apps, Builder.ai had actually outsourced the development work to 700 Indian software engineers.

The dramatic fall of Builder.ai, a company once hailed as the future of no-code app development, offers a critical lesson in the importance of AI system governance. As AI solutions become more embedded in everyday business offerings, it is no longer acceptable to rely on vague marketing promises or "black box" claims. Companies must be transparent about where and how AI is actually being used.

<u>https://www.benzinga.com/news/25/05/45624988/builder-ais-shocking-450m-fallmicrosoft-and-qia-backed-no-code-ai-darling-files-for-bankruptcy-after-creditor-seizure</u>











WhatsApp backs Apple in its legal row with the UK



Apple went to the courts after receiving a notice from the UK Home Office demanding access to its global customers' data for national security purposes. Additionally, WhatsApp has publicly supported Apple in its legal fight.

Apple applies end-to-end encryption to files, meaning it currently has no way to provide government authorities with access to this data even if requested. This encryption mechanism not only protects users' privacy but also prevents exploitation by malicious actors. In its defence, the Home Office publicly stated that it has robust safeguards and independent oversight to protect privacy, and that such data would only be accessed in exceptional cases.

https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cgmjrn42wdwo

Denmark on tackling deepfakes

Countries are starting to crack down on deepfakes—realistic fake videos and images created with artificial intelligence. In Denmark, lawmakers have proposed giving people copyright over their own faces and voices. This would let individuals demand that platforms remove deepfake content and allow them to claim compensation if their likeness is misused. The proposal still makes room for satire and parody but aims to curb harassment and misinformation. In the United States, the Take It Down Act became law in May. It makes it illegal to share non-consensual deepfake pornography and requires websites to remove such content within 48 hours of a complaint. Supporters see these laws as an important step to protect privacy and dignity online, while critics worry they could limit free expression or prove difficult to enforce.



https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2025/jun/27/deepfakes-denmark-copyright-lawartificial-intelligence?utm_source=chatgpt.com

Events Bite

On 20th to 22nd June, a team of five from SMU LIT participated in hack_the_law 2025 LLM X LAW hackathon organised by Kings College's Kings E-Lab in collaboration with Stanford University CodeX at the University of Cambridge. We are proud to announced that the team and their solution, Lit Legal Mind (LLM), were named Grand Finalists and winner of the Legora problem challenge. Well done and congratulations!









